Assessment of Stroke Patients’ Status and Their Transfer Time by Emergency Medical Services to Valiasr Hospital in Arak, Iran
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Background: Stroke is a major cause of death and disability in the world and these complications can be decreased by competent emergency care. Emergency medical service (EMS) transfer half of the stroke patients to hospitals. This study aimed to determine diagnosis of status and transfer time of stroke patients by EMS to a main hospital (Valiasr) in Arak City.

Materials and Methods: This study was a descriptive analytic study and conducted on 43 patients with a diagnosis of stroke that transferred by EMS to Valiasr Hospital in Arak City. Data were collected through a checklist which contained information about age, sex, type of accident, response time, scene time, transfer time, and total time from inpatients records and Emergency Center statistics. Regarding data analysis, descriptive statistical tests were performed by SPSS 19.

Results: The mean(SD) age of patients was 73.7(3.8) years and 51.2% of them were women. The stroke diagnosis by EMS technicians was correct in 15(34.9%) cases. In 20(46.5%) cases, the diagnosis was wrong and in 8(18.6%) cases, there were not any diagnosis. The most common non-stroke condition was confusion. The mean response time and arrival time to location, transfer time, and total time were 6.9, 16.9, 9.1, and 35.3 minutes, respectively. In patients with correct diagnosis and wrong or no diagnosis, these mean times were 7, 17.1, 3.9, and 35.7 minutes and 6.9, 16.8, 9.7, and 33.5 minutes, respectively.

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that an accurate diagnosis by EMS technicians could be an indicator for faster transfer of the patient to treatment center. It is recommended that more appropriate pre-hospital diagnosis tools of stroke be developed and handed to EMS staff, for more accurate diagnosis of strokes and their better treatment.
1. Introduction

Cerebrovascular disease is the third common cause of death, and the sixth leading cause of disability in the world and expected to rise to the fourth cause of disability and second leading cause of death by 2020 [1]. Today, stroke has many treatments but role of time is critical in returning blood to brain and acute stroke care has significantly reduced death and disability rate among these patients [2, 3]. Emergency medical services (EMS) is the first medical contact for half of the acute stroke patients. Thus, they play an undeniable role in survival and prevention of complications in these patients [4]. Getting “the right patient to the right place in time” is the key to save lives and reduce disability caused by stroke [5].

The annual incidence of stroke is 15 million worldwide. Over 5.5 million of these patients will eventually die and over two-thirds of all stroke deaths in the world occur in developing countries [6, 7]. The annual incidence of stroke per 100000 people in Western countries is 100 to 300 while in Iran, based on some altered studies, average annual stroke attack rates are between 33 to 372 per 100000 [8]. The case fatality rate at 28 days for all type of strokes is reported as 12.6% in the world and about 19% to 31.5% in Iran [9, 10].

Age is a known key factor in the incidence of stroke. The risk of stroke doubles for each successive decade after age 55 [3]. The annual incidence of elderly stroke (over 45 years) was 500 per 100000 people [11]. Also, stroke reduces mobility in more than half of stroke survivors whose age is 65 and older [12]. Today, stroke has been considered one of the most costly diseases because of acute and chronic disabilities resulting from stroke [9].

A stroke is an interruption of cerebral circulation, occurs when a clot blocks the blood supply to the brain or a blood vessel in the brain bursts [13, 14]. Stroke symptoms may begin with central nervous system function failure [15]. They include sudden weakness or numbness of the face, arm, or leg, especially on one side of the body; sudden confusion; trouble in speaking or understanding; sudden trouble in seeing in one or both eyes; sudden trouble in walking, dizziness, loss of balance or coordination; or sudden severe headache with no known cause [16]. Stroke signs and symptoms encompass a wide range of neurological symptoms, which may also be seen in other central nervous system disorders. These diseases include epilepsy, diabetes, dementia, brain tumor, and abscess. The most common stroke symptoms mimic is seizure [17, 18].

Today, there are different treatments of stroke. All efforts should be laid on immediate symptom recognition, rapid transport, and treatment [19]. Several studies have shown that the effectiveness of any stroke therapy is time dependent [19]. Because with passing every minute of the onset of untreated stroke, have died 200 million nerve cells [20]. The treatment is dependent on time, i.e. the difference between returning to work or becoming permanently disabled at home or in a nursing home [5].

The last decade of stroke studies has highlighted the importance of rapid treatment of acute stroke [21, 22]. Research has shown that speed, knowledge, and technology would affect prevention, recognition, and transportation to treatment, post-treatment, and rehabilitation. They can make a vast difference in stroke victim’s outcome [20]. In this regard, mortality rates in the first 30 days after stroke have decreased because of advances in emergency medicine care [10].

American Heart Association explained the best care for stroke patients with 7 Ds. These “7 Ds of Stroke Care” include detection (recognition of stroke signs and symptoms by the patient and family), dispatch (call 115 and priority EMS dispatch), delivery (prompt transport and pre-hospital notification to hospital), door (arrival and urgent triage in the emergency department), data (ED evaluation, prompt laboratory studies, and CT imaging), decision (diagnosis and decision about appropriate therapy), and drug (administration of appropriate drugs or other interventions) [23, 24]. Thus, both pre-hospital and hospital services take part in the treatment chain of stroke patients [19]. Pre-hospital phase is considered as an interval between manifestation of symptoms and reaching the appropriate hospital and has two parts: stroke symptom recognition by the patient and family and transferring to medical center by EMS [25].

Pre-hospital emergency medical services respond to call for help, assess the medical needs of the patient, provide health service in difficult environments outside the hospital and transport the sick or injured people to appropriate medical facilities [15]. In other words, EMS links hospitals and other medical centers to community, attempts to provide required emergency information and services in a suitable method and in a short time, and take the patients to the nearest medical center to prevent serious complications that can threat their lives [26]. Paramedics are the first medical contact in 38% to 70% of stroke patients and maximum delay in the assessment and treatment of stroke occurs in pre-hospital phase [13, 27, 28]. Thus, emergency medical technicians play an important role in the management of patients in acute phase as well.
as an essential part in stroke treatment team [29, 30]. Adams et al. reported that the benefits of EMS activities for patients with stroke symptoms appear in both the pre-hospital and in-hospital settings. EMS is strongly associated with decreased transfer time and prevention of neurological deficits in hospitals [23].

The objectives of the EMS phase of stroke care are as follows: 1) rapid and accurate diagnosis of stroke as the cause of the patient’s problems, 2) elimination of co-morbid conditions that could mimic stroke (e.g. epilepsy, diabetes dementia, brain tumor, and abscess) [18, 27], 3) stabilization of patients’ condition, 4) rapid transfer of the patient to the closest appropriate emergency department, and 5) notification of the receiving institution about impending arrival of a patient with suspected stroke [23].

Transferring by EMS is the most important factor in reducing delays in reaching the hospital [31]. In dealing with patients outside of the hospital, use of a standardized protocol helps ensure that the major components of a neurological examination are performed in a timely fashion [17]. They can reduce the response time of the pre-hospital care of these patients [17]. Common standard protocols for stroke diagnosis in the world are FAST (Face, Arm, Speech, Test), CPSS (Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale), and LAPSS (Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen) [13, 23, 32]. Chenkin et al. following the implementation of a diagnostic protocol in a city, witnessed the increased number of stroke patients’ eligible to receive tissue Plasminogen Activator (tPA) to 17.2% [33].

Also, Harbison and Ramanujam studies showed that 40% to 79% of stroke patients are diagnosed correctly by pre-hospital emergency technicians [34, 35]. The results of studies in America, Australia, and Italy showed that the percentage of positive results with the drug tPA was exceeded if the patients be transferred by EMS [36]. Therefore, the correct diagnosis of stroke by emergency medical technicians can reduce transferring delays from the onset symptoms to hospital admission, also can prevent losing treatment resources [16, 34, 37, 38].

Success in EMS depends on various factors, including responsible and trained personnel and coordinated communication system [32]. Thus, current situation should be assessed to enhance and strengthen the quality of services performed by the pre-hospital emergency care [32, 39]. Three important criteria in this evaluation include the level of personnel training, equipment, and response time interval [39]. Response time can be divided into response time, time at the scene, and transported time to hospital [39].

This study has focused on the pre-hospital stroke diagnosis because of its importance and paucity of research in the field. Also, we tried to identify existing deficiencies, and if possible, resolve them.

In the end, it seems that accurate pre-hospital diagnosis and transfer time and relationship between these 2 variables, could depict a clear picture of the current management of stroke patients in pre-hospital phase.

2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study used a descriptive analytical design and conducted on stroke patients transported by EMS to Valiasr Hospital in Arak City from 23 August 2010 to 23 August 2011. Patients with a final diagnosis of stroke in hospital were divided into 3 groups of correct diagnosis, misdiagnosis, and no diagnosis of stroke. Studied variables included response time, time at the scene, transfer time, and total time. The study population included consecutive patients transported to Valiasr Hospital by EMS in Arak City over a one-year period.

In order to increase the validity of the research, study was a census of all individuals who met the inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were a final hospital-based diagnosis of stroke, matching patient name in hospital record sheets and EMS record sheets, and being transported by Arak City EMS to Valiasr Hospital. Patients with missing data or incomplete full name were excluded from the main analysis.

Researchers after receiving institutional ethical approval, referred to Arak City EMS and Valiasr Hospital, explained the research objectives and emphasized on data confidentiality. Finally, they came to an agreement and started to collect the data. In addition to ethical considerations, names of all patients who met the inclusion criteria were removed and numeric codes assigned to them.

In brief, Arak City EMS delivered services to 599634 people in Arak. Also Arak City EMS has 7 urban-based and 6 road-based centers and covers an area of 7178 km² [40, 41]. Valiasr Hospital (the only stroke state center in Markazi Province) admits all stroke patients that transfer by Arak City EMS.

At first, names of all patients with a final diagnosis of stroke were extracted from the patients’ records of Valiasr Hospital from 23 August 2010 to 23 August 2011.

The final diagnosis was defined as a final inpatient discharge diagnosis of stroke or CVA that was recorded in patients’ file by neurologist. The final diagnosis by neu-
A rologist is based on computed tomography (as standard clinical criteria for stroke diagnosis). A total of 231 patients were selected in the sample. In the end, hospital records of all stroke patients were assessed and the cases transferred by EMS were identified.

Based on the records, 57 people by Arak EMS, 47 people from other cities, and 3 people from other hospitals in Arak City were transferred to Valiasr Hospital. Our research only examined the patients that transferred by Arak EMS.

Then, researchers referred to statistical center of Arak EMS, and received stroke patients’ data, including times intervals, first diagnosis, and demographic characteristics of the patients. In this phase, 14 samples were omitted because of not having records by technicians and not matching in 2 centers. Finally, the study population comprised 43 people with final diagnosis of stroke who were transferred by Arak City EMS.

Tools for data gathering in this study was a researcher-made checklist comprising age, sex, primary diagnose, time received, time of reaching to scene, time of departure from the scene, and time of arrival at the hospital. This information was completed by the researchers. Based on above information, response time, time at the scene, transport time and total run time were calculated (Table 1)[42].

All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS version 19. The demographic characteristics and diagnosis status for the study population were compared by $\chi^2$ test. Then, we used Mann-Whitney test for assessing the relationship between diagnosis status and time intervals. Mean time intervals (95% confidence interval) were calculated, too. A value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant for all tests.

3. Results

During the study period, out of 231 patients identified with stroke diagnosis, 43 patients were included in this study, because they were transported by Arak City EMS and hospitalized in Valiasr Hospital based on final diagnosis of stroke by physicians and inclusion criteria.

Most patients were older than 55 years (93%) and were females (51.2%). Stroke frequency in age 75-85 years in both sexes, males less than 55 years and women aged between 55 to 65 years and 75 to 85 years old were further and did not see significant difference in other ages. The mean(SD) age of the study population was 73.7(3.8) years, with the range of 38 to 100 years and the mean age of men and women were 72 and 75 years, respectively.

Out of 43 patients, 15(34.9%) had a correct diagnosis, 20(46.5%) had a wrong diagnosis, and 8(18.6%) did not have a diagnosis by EMS technicians. The mean age of the subjects were 69.4 years at correct diagnosis group, 75.9 years at misdiagnosis group, and 76.6 years at no diagnosis group.

Figure 1 indicates the types of diagnosis for stroke patients by EMS technicians. Confusion was the most prevalent misdiagnosed disease (28%), followed by seizures (5%), weakness (5.1%), and other misdiagnoses (including respiratory problems, infections, dizziness, and other neurological problems) (9%). Time intervals (response time, time at the scene, transfer time and total time) for all study population are given in Table 2.

Overall, the average age of patients who were misdiagnosed or had no diagnosis was 77 years and the average age of patients with a correct diagnosis was 69 years.

Table 4 presents the demographic characteristics of the diagnostic status of sample. The results indicate that no statistically significant differences were found among correct, wrong, or without diagnosis of stroke patients by EMS technicians with regard to age and sex.

Table 5 indicates that response time, time at the scene, and total time for patients with stroke did not vary significantly in both groups (correct and wrong or without diagnosis). Also on 68.4% of stroke patients, the response

---

Table 1. Time interval definition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time intervals</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>Period between the emergency call received and ambulance arrival at the scene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at the scene</td>
<td>Period between ambulance arrival at the scene and ambulance departure from the scene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport time</td>
<td>Interval between leaving the scene and arriving at the emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total run time</td>
<td>Response time, scene time, and transport time which amount to the total run time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Time intervals (min) for all study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time intervals</th>
<th>Mean time</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>6.9±1</td>
<td>15.6-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at the scene</td>
<td>16.9±1</td>
<td>31.5-8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport time</td>
<td>9.1±1</td>
<td>58.1-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total run time</td>
<td>35.3±1</td>
<td>92.3-18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Times intervals (min) with respect to type of diagnosis by EMS technicians.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of diagnosis</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Mean time</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correct diagnosis</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.6-2.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time at the scene</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>26.7-9.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport time</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.3-0.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total run time</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>87.3-20.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong diagnosis</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>13.6-2.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time at the scene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31.5-9.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport time</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>58.1-2.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total run time</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>92.3-18.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without diagnosis</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10.4-4.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time at the scene</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>26.8-8.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport time</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>21.9-0.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total run time</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>55.7-22.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
time was less than 8 minutes long, in which stroke patients had a mean age 73.6 years and 57.7% were female.

4. Discussion

Study of 231 stroke patients in the hospital during this period indicated that 25% of stroke patients were transported to hospital by EMS. Kleindorfer and Lacy in their studies showed that 38% and two thirds of stroke patients were transported to hospitals by EMS, respectively [43, 44]. Also, transporting stroke patients allocated 1% of emergency accidents in Arak. Findings of Mosley and Zhang et al. showed that 44% and 39.5% of patients were serviced by EMS. Our result was greatly lower than other similar studies [45, 46]. Low utilization of EMS in Arak is the result of weak public awareness about critical time for stroke treatment and lack of trust to EMS. Therefore, we suggest that people be educated of the signs and symptoms of stroke, transfer of the patient to the appropriate medical facility be expedite, and suitable strategy be chosen to increase public confidence on EMS with the help of mass media.

Stroke patients transferred by EMS are more women. The results of stroke frequency in women were similar to those of Ghandehari et al., which revealed stroke incidence in women as 51% to 53% [9]. Also other studies supporting the results of our study [43, 47-49]. Thus, as the incidence of stroke is higher in women; most of them are being taken to hospitals by EMS. Higher incidence of stroke among women can be due to their more attention to new problems.

The mean(SD) age of the study population was 73.7(3.8) years, which is higher than the value reported by Kothari et al. as 67(16) years and Frendl et al. as 72 years. Also in Iran, the stroke patients’ age was reported around 61 to 65 years [8, 48, 50]. These results indicate need to pay more attention to diagnosis and treatment for the elderly people. Because of any disability in older age may reduce the patient’s independence and lead to secondary problems for patients and their families.

Age group distribution for the sample was 9.3% in less than 60 years people and 90.7% in higher than 60 years people. In Halpern study, patients aged higher than 45 years were 86% and in Fonarow study, the reported ages of stroke patients were 22.6% in under 60 and 77.4% in 60 years or older [49, 51]. Another study by Chen et al. reported that 50% of stroke patients were more than 70 years old and the 25% of them were older than 80 years [52]. In summary, stroke incidence in patients older than 60 years was high, which was consistent with other related studies in the literature.

This study demonstrated that only 34.9% of stroke patients were correctly identified by EMS technicians. However, According to some authors, diagnosis accuracy reported as 72% by Kothari, 40% by Ramanujam and with utilization
of diagnostic tools, 67.7% by Andjelic, 77% by Smith for paramedics who did not use any diagnostic tools, and 79% by Harbison for paramedics who applied FAST [34, 35, 48, 53, 54]. Therefore, poor diagnosis of stroke patients accounts for increase in pre-hospital delay, on the other hand, correct diagnosis of stroke reduces mortality and disability in patients [55]. Moreover, any attempt to improve the accuracy of diagnosis by EMS technicians can improve the emergency treatment process.

The results of this study suggest that older patients have higher chance for wrong diagnosis (69 vs. 76 years). In our study, weakness, confusion, seizures, respiratory problems, infectious causes, vertigo, and other neurological problems (46.5%) were associated with stroke misdiagnosis by EMS technicians. According to some authors (Kothari and Harbison), the main disorders that misdiagnosed with stroke were infectious causes, including sepsis, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, and encephalitis; myocardial infarction and syncope; seizures; confusion; malignant tumors; psychological disorders; dementia; subdural hemorrhage; drug and alcohol abuse; and peripheral neuropathy [48]. This issue can demonstrate that EMS technicians are not able to distinguish between stroke and mimics.

In our study, the mean response time was 6.9 (median=6.7) minutes. However, previous studies reported that time as 13 and 6.5 minutes. Khorasani et al. in Uremia City, Iran reported the response time for traumatic accidents as 5 minutes [56]. Also, the mean(SD) response time for children in Tehran was reported as 14.9(6.7) minutes by Panahi et al. [57]. Since, arrival to scene is an important factor in quality assessment of pre-hospital care service, this index will be assessed according to a standardized protocol (less than 8 minutes in 80% of services) [58].

In the current study, 68.4% of response times were less than 8 minutes. Previous studies reported 81.1% in Yazd city and 72.5% in Uremia City [56, 39]. Panahi et al. found the value of 8.5% standard response time for the age group below 15 years that had internal problems in Tehran [59]. Thus, it seems that with regard to the lack of heavy traffic in Arak City, Arak EMS could plan to improve this time to reach the standard time of the country.

In this study, the means for time intervals were 16.9 (median=16 min) for the time at the scene, 9.1 (median=6 min) for transfer time and 35.3 (median=31.7 min) minutes for total time. Kleindorfer found that “time at the scene” and “transfer time” for stroke and transient ischemic attack patients were 14.1 and 13.1 minutes, respectively [43]. Another study that conducted by Bidari et al. to evaluate the performance of pre-hospital care services in patients transported to Hazrat-e Rasoul-e Akram Hospital, reported “transfer time” and “total time” as 34 and 47 minutes, respectively [32]. According to some authors, reported “total time” was 71 minutes (Puolakka et al.). Other reported values were 6.1, 6.3, and 29.2 minutes for the “time at the scene”, “transfer time”, and “total time”, respectively for motor accidents in Uremia city [56, 60].

Results from Table 3 showed that the “time at the scene” value was higher in patients with correct diagnosis by EMS technicians than that value for patients with wrong or without diagnosis. However, no statistically significant differences were found between these groups. Also the mean transfer time in patients with correct diagnosis was very different (3.9 vs. 9.1 to 12.1 min). The mean total time from dispatch to arrival at a hospital was 35.7 minutes for patients with correct diagnosis and there were no statistically significant differences with other patients (wrong or without diagnosis).

In another study by Ramanujam et al. time intervals (response time, transfer time, and total time) were reported as 6, 19, and 39 minutes for patients with correct diagnosis and 5, 20, and 40 minutes for other patients [61]. Therefore, our results agree with other studies in this subject. Luca and colleagues investigated transfer time after and before training course for technicians on stroke and reported its decline from 31.8 to 35.8 minutes [62]. Harbison et al. reported that an accurate diagnosis could assist in rapid transfer to appropriate medical center. Results from these studies are similar to our results [34].

5. Conclusion

The results of this study showed that the correct diagnosis by EMS technicians could result in faster transfer of the patient to appropriate hospital. Our data revealed that accuracy of stroke diagnosis in EMS technicians was low, also it has inverse relationship with the time of transfer to hospital. Currently, there is not any standard tool for EMS technicians to diagnose stroke.

Many studies showed that the use of diagnostic tools in pre-hospital phase can improve accuracy of diagnosis and reduce transfer time to hospital. It is recommended that more appropriate pre-hospital diagnosis tools of stroke be developed and handed to EMS staff, for more accurate diagnosis of strokes and their better treatment.
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