Accepted Manuscript (Uncorrected Proof)

Title: Evaluating the Comprehensiveness of the Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk

Assessment (THIRA) Tool in Assessing Hazard-Related Risks

Authors: Hamid Reza Khankeha^{1,2}, Javad Shojafard², Mohammad Saatchi^{2,3}

Ebrahimianb^{2,*}

1. QUEST Center for Responsible Research, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité, Berlin, Germany.

2. Health in Emergency and Disaster Research Center, Social Health Research Institute, University of

Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

3. Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Science,

Tehran, Iran.

To appear in: Health in Emergencies & Disasters Quarterly

Received date: 2024/08/27

Revised date: 2025/07/7

Accepted date: 2025/07/16

First Online Published: 2025/07/30

1

This is a "Just Accepted" manuscript, which has been examined by the peer-review process and has been accepted for publication. A "Just Accepted" manuscript is published online shortly after its acceptance, which is prior to technical editing and formatting and author proofing. *Health in Emergencies & Disasters Quarterly* provides "Just Accepted" as an optional and free service which allows authors to make their results available to the research community as soon as possible after acceptance. After a manuscript has been technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" on Website and published as a published article. Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which may affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Please cite this article as:

Khankeha HR, Shojafard J, Saatchi M, Ebrahimianb M. Evaluating the Comprehensiveness of the Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA) Tool in Assessing Hazard-Related Risks. Health in Emergencies & Disasters Quarterly. Forthcoming 2026. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/hdq.2026.521.1

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/hdq.2026.521.1

Dear Editor,

Risk assessment is recognized as the foundational strategy for reducing and managing disaster risk arising from both natural and man-made hazards. It plays a crucial role in shaping disaster risk management policies by enabling stakeholders, including governments, emergency organizations, and the public, to make informed decisions across all phases of disaster risk management, including risk reduction, preparedness, response, and recovery (1–5).

Comprehensive risk assessment requires an in-depth understanding of vulnerability, exposure, capacity, and the nature of hazards, particularly in complex and multi-hazard environments (1–4,6). To support this, a variety of assessment tools have been developed, such as FMEA, Hazop, STAR, and THIRA (7–9). Among them, the **Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)** tool, developed by FEMA, stands out as a key and practical tool specifically tailored for disaster risk management in the U.S. context (9).

THIRA is a scenario-based, three-step process that helps communities identify hazards and threats, contextualize them, and determine required capabilities to manage them. This is aligned with core capabilities in the form of five mission areas: prevention, protection, mitigation, response, and recovery(9–11). Although this tool has contributed significantly to enhancing preparedness planning and capacity building, it is not without limitations.

Primarily, THIRA operates on a **single-hazard** approach and lacks a robust framework for addressing **multi-hazard** interactions, such as cascading, compound, or triggering effects which is very complicated to manage(6,12). It also does not explicitly incorporate **probability analysis**, a core component of risk quantification, nor does it sufficiently address **vulnerability**, especially across its social, cultural, environmental, and organizational dimensions (1,13–15).

Another limitation is its dependence on standardized capabilities in the USA that may not align with the preparedness levels of other countries, limiting its global applicability. Furthermore, while THIRA emphasizes mostly preparedness and response, its utility in the **prevention** phase remains limited.

To enhance the effectiveness and applicability of THIRA, we propose the following:

- 1. Integrate multi-hazard approach by accounting for interactions between hazards.
- 2. Include **risk-based indicators**, such as probability and vulnerability, in the risk assessment process.
- 3. Broaden the scope to **all hazards**, including both natural and man-made events.
- 4. Reduce dependency on extensive data from multiple entities, making the tool more adaptable in data-scarce environments in low and middle-income countries.
- 5. Develop mechanisms for **quantifying cascading impacts** of disasters resulted by hazardous events.

These recommendations align with priorities outlined in the **Sendai Framework**, the **Hyogo Framework**, and the **Global Risk Report 2024** (2,16,17), which stress the importance of holistic, multi-hazard risk assessment to gain better understanding disaster risk.

We advocate for further empirical studies and pilot implementation to evaluate THIRA's

to evaluater risk mans.

References:

- 1. Ramli MWA, Alias NE, Mohd Yusof H, Yusop Z, Taib SM. Development of a local, integrated disaster risk assessment framework for Malaysia. Sustainability. 2021;13(19):10792.
- 2. Framework S. Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030, 2015.
- 3. UNDRR Terminology on Disaster Risk Reduction, "Disaster risk assessment," 2009, https://www.undrr.org/terminology/ disaster-risk-assessment.
- 4. Rovins JE, Wilson TM, Hayes J, Jensen SJ, Dohaney J, Mitchell J, et al. Risk assessment handbook. 2015;
- 5. Khankeh H, Akbari Shahrestanaki Y, Bahrampouri S, Beyramijam M. National Health-Oriented Hazard Assessment in Iran Based on the First Priority for Action in Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030. Emerg Med Int. 2021;2021(1):5593223.
- 6. Kappes MS. Multi-hazard risk analyses: a concept and its implementation. na; 2011.
- 7. Poljansek K, Marín Ferrer M, De Groeve T, Clark I. Science for disaster risk management 2017: knowing better and losing less. ETH Zurich; 2017.
- 8. Organization WH. Strategic toolkit for assessing risks: a comprehensive toolkit for all-hazards health emergency risk assessment. World Health Organization; 2021.
- 9. https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/fema_national-thira-overview-methodology_2019_0.pdf.
- 10. United States Department of Homeland Security. (2018). Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA) and Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) Guide. Comprehensive Preparedness (CPG)201.https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/1527613746699

fa31d9ade55988da1293192f1b18f4e3/CPG201Final20180525_508c.pdf.

11. Wickham ED, Bathke D, Abdel-Monem T, Bernadt T, Bulling D, Pytlik-Zillig L, et al. Conducting a drought-specific THIRA (Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment): A powerful tool for integrating all-hazard mitigation and drought planning efforts to increase drought mitigation quality. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 2019;39:101227.

- 12. Kappes MS, Keiler M, von Elverfeldt K, Glade T. Challenges of analyzing multi-hazard risk: a review. Natural hazards. 2012;64:1925–58.
- 13. Nekoei-Moghadam M, Moradi SM, Tavan A. How can the Sendai framework be implemented for disaster risk reduction and sustainable development? A qualitative study in Iran. Global Health. 2024;20(1):23.
- 14. Bakkensen LA, Fox-Lent C, Read LK, Linkov I. Validating resilience and vulnerability indices in the context of natural disasters. Risk analysis. 2017;37(5):982–1004.
- 15. Pringle P, Karali E, Klostermann JEM, Mäkinen K, Prutsch A, Hildén M, et al. National monitoring, reporting and evaluation of climate change adaptation in Europe. European Environment Agency; 2015.
- 16. Reduction IS for D. Hyogo framework for action 2005–2015: Building the resilience of nations and communities to disasters. In: Extract from the Final Report of the World Conference on Disaster Reduction (A/CONF 206/6). The United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction Geneva ...; 2005. p. 1–22.
- 17. Bündnis Entwicklung Hilft / IFHV (2024): WordRiskReport 2024. Berlin: Bündnis Entwicklung Hilft. 2024.